

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/766,973 | 10/766,973 01/29/2004 | | Mohammad Kamarehi | MATRIX.026A | 4337 | |
| 20995 | 7590 | 05/03/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | NS OLSON & BEA | PASCHALL | PASCHALL, MARK H | | |
| 2040 MAIN FOURTEEN | | OR | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| IRVINE, C | IRVINE, CA 92614 | | | | 3742 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commons | 10/766,973 | KAMAREHI, MOHAMMAD | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Mark H. Paschall | 3742 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ma | arch 2006. | | | | | |
| •— | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | ice except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected. | | · | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | - | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer, Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in Application | on No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attack manufal | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03-27-06</u> . 6) LI Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/766,973

Art Unit: 3742

Continued Prosecution Application

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,6,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Schlie et al. Note helix 6,chamber or tube 8, microwave sources 10,12 and couplers 14,16 in figure 1. AS claimed, Schlie et al produce a slow wave plasma.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5,8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schlie et al in view of Hamilton et al. Schlie et al teach the claimed subject matter except for showing use of a hollow tube for helix cooling. The patent to Hamilton et al is applied for teaching use of a hollow helix which is cooled via fluid flow through the hollow tube 18 and in view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Schlie et al system with the helix being hollow, for cooling purposes, attaining the

benefit of enhanced longevity of the helix and the chamber. Use of particular cooling means such as pumps and jacket, as per the dependent claims is considered a matter of routine design dependent on the undesignated structure of the chamber and other undisclosed parameters of the system.

Claims 12-16,18-23,25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liao article in view of Schlie et al. In view of Liao et al teaching a plasma processing system as claimed, except for use of a MW helix type energizing system for the plasma, one of ordinary skill in plasma generation would have found it obvious to modify the Liao system to use a MW system as set froth in Schlie et al , to enable more efficient generation of the plasma for the processing.

Claims 17 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liao article in view of Schlie et al as applied to claims 12-16,18-23,25-28 above, and further in view of Hamilton et al. Hamilton et al is applied for the same reasons set froth in the above rejections and does teach cooling of plasma producing hardware beneficial to the system components.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,973

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742 Page 4

Mp